

The Role Of Pakistan Tehreek-E-Insaf (Pti) In Promoting Democratization In Khyber Pakhtunkhwa From (2013-2018)

Sher Amal Khan	Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Pakistan Studies, Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology Peshawar, Sheramalkhan@gmail.com
Prof. Dr. Najib Ullah	Department of Pakistan Studies, Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology Peshawar.
Prof. Dr. Ghulam Qasim Marwat	Department of Pakistan Studies, Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology, Peshawar.

Abstract: *This study looks in to the role of Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) in promoting democratization in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) during its first government from 2013 to 2018. After gaining a majority in the 2013 general elections, PTI formed a provincial coliation government in KP. The study is qualitative in nature and enforced a number of initiatives to enhancing transparency, accountability, and citizen participation in politics. The study also explores PTI's governance strategies, to strengthen democratic institutions and practices including the implementation of the Right to Information Act, merit based recruitment, police reforms, local government empowerment. To foster political awareness and inclusivity the PTI's government engagement with civil society, youth mobilization, and use of digital platforms to foster political democratization process. Also to evaluate the policy documents, media coverage, and public discourse, to assesses the extent to which PTI's initiatives contributed to democratization in KP. While the findings highlight the reveal challenges such as political polarization and limitations in policy execution and the significant progress in institutional development and civic engagement. This research delves to the broader understanding of democratization in Pakistan's provincial context and offers insights into the evolving nature of democratic governance in transition.*

Keywords: Democratization, Reforms, Transparency, Mobilization, Accountability, Civil Society.

Introduction

Imran khan launched political party Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) in 1996. The PTI's rise as a transformative political power in the 2013 general elections, securing a majority in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) and adopted as a new phase of governance. PTI's based was in to new dynamic promises of accountability, transparency, and democratic reform (Shafqat, 2015). The party's governance in KP was marked a significant shift in political culture, institutional development and emphasizing citizen engagement. This research is to analysis that how political parties can influence democratization at the provincial and national level and the PTI's role in promoting democratization in KP during its first term government. PTI's approach to populist rhetoric with administrative reforms, aiming to reshape the relationship between the citizens and the state. The party's emphasis on anti-corruption, and decentralization of power to democratic governance (Khan, 2016).

In a political landscape dominated political parties with high influence voter base, PTI initially

initiatives to gain widespread support. It had to combat the popular support of PPP and PMLN and secure a solid vote bank and at last make an entry to the way of political power. When aftermath of Musharraf's presidency the PML-Q began to decline, much of its support came to the side of PTI. In 2008 general election PTI abstained from participating, which it deemed fraudulent under Musharraf's control (Abbas, 2019).

Throughout this period, PTI positioned itself as an outsider 2008-2013. In 2013, the PTI had become much popular and started political gathering started with the massive meeting at Minar-e-Pakistan, Lahore in 5, Jun, 2011 (Tribune, 2011). Another key component of PTI's KP democratization agenda was youth mobilization and digital outreach. To engage young voters, spread information, and raise political consciousness, the party made use of social media channels. In line with the goals of a tech-savvy youth, PTI's digital advertising placed a strong emphasis on transparency, anti-corruption, and citizen empowerment. Youth wings and volunteer networks played active roles in community development and political advocacy. This engagement helped cultivate a new political consciousness among young Pakistanis, particularly in urban centers (Abdul, 2019).

Despite PTI's reformist agenda, its democratization efforts in KP faced criticism from opposition parties and civil society. Critics argued that some reforms were symbolic rather than substantive, lacking institutional depth and continuity. These critiques highlight the complexities of democratization in transitional societies, where reforms must navigate entrenched interests and systemic inertia. A balanced assessment of PTI's governance requires examining both its achievements and limitations within the broader context of Pakistan's political evolution (Rashid, 2019).

PTI's tenure in KP from 2013 to 2018 represents a significant case study in party-led democratization. Through reforms in transparency, decentralization, youth engagement, and digital governance, PTI attempted to restructure political norms and institutions. While the party made notable change in promoting democratic practices, challenges related to implementation, inclusivity, and sustainability persisted. These efforts played a vital role in promoting democratization within the country especially in KP. The party's mission was clear: to bolster democratic institutions and uphold the cherished values of democracy within the nation (Cheema, Khan, & Myerson, 2015).

Problem Statement

In recent area the traditional political landscape of Pakistan changed with new political system. The Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf's (PTI) claims of promoting democratization during its tenure in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) from 2013 to 2018, but its rival parties reject its claim of promoting democratization. Therefore, this study seeks to critically examine PTI's role in promoting democratization in KP during its first term, assessing both its achievements and limitations within the broader context of Pakistan's evolving political landscape.

Research Questions

- 1) What were the policies of PTI in promoting democratization in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa?
- 2) What were the PTI governance initiatives in promoting democratization in province?

Research Objectives

- 1) To examine the policies of PTI in developing democratization in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2) To analyses the PTI government contributions in promoting democratization in province.

Research Methods

The study used exploratory analytical techniques. Also a qualitative character. Qualitative research is useful in generating very useful comprehensive and accessible data. Qualitative research is associated with qualitative phenomena. Here thematic analysis and case study will be useful as its enable the

researcher to examine the roll of PTI in promoting democratization in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa from 2013 to 2018 in details. A non-probably purposive sample techniques used to collect primary data from the respondent. Semi-structure interviews from one-on-one, allowing participant to express their thought and expression in depth.

Discussion and Analysis

The 2013–2018 rule of the Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf (PTI) in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) signaled a significant change in the political climate of the region. With its reformist program, which was based on pledges of accountability, openness, and citizen empowerment, PTI upended the established political order. Public disenchantment with established elites and a yearning for democratic renewal propelled the party's ascent. KP turned became a test site for PTI's governance model, providing information on how subnational democracy might be impacted by political leadership. This paper looks at PTI's civic engagement tactics, institutional reforms, and wider ramifications for the consolidation of democracy.

Right to Information Act

The Right to Information Act, which sought to institutionalize transparency, was a key component of the PTI's democratization agenda. The act enabled civil society and the media to hold public officials responsible by providing citizens with access to government records (Ali & Naseer, 2017). Information became a tool for civic oversight as it marked a move toward participatory governance. The act's success was uneven, nevertheless, since bureaucratic inertia and a lack of awareness efforts prevented its complete adoption. Access was further limited by the absence of digital infrastructure in rural areas, underscoring the necessity of supplementary capacity-building initiatives.

Police Reforms

The goal of PTI's police reforms was to increase public trust and depoliticize law enforcement. To protect policing from political interference, merit-based hiring procedures and independent Public Safety Commissions were established. These changes were praised for increasing responsiveness and professionalism. However, their impact was lessened by systemic issues like understaffing, poor training, and opposition from special interests. It was also challenging to evaluate the reforms' long-term effects because they lacked a strong monitoring system. They did, however, constitute a significant effort to bring law enforcement into line with democratic ideals (Ullah & Tayyab, 2023).

The Local Government Act 2013

The Local Government Act of 2013 represented a significant step toward decentralization. The PTI granted administrative and financial powers to elected local bodies, allowing citizens to have a say in policy-making at the grassroots level. This reform was intended to democratize the delivery of services and promote civic engagement (Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, 2013). Nevertheless, the lack of financial independence and delays in conducting local elections weakened the effectiveness of these institutions. Additionally, political interference and limitations in capacity further hindered their ability to operate autonomously. Despite these challenges, the act established a foundation for a more inclusive governance framework.

Merit Based Recruitment

The commitment of PTI to recruit based on merit aimed to break down patronage systems and enhance the integrity of institutions. The KP Public Service Commission and digital tools such as the E-Transfer system created greater transparency in the hiring and posting processes. These measures played a crucial role in rebuilding public trust in governmental procedures (Bano, Parhyar, & Sethar, 2024). Nonetheless, gaps in execution and occasional setbacks raised issues regarding consistency. The reforms also encountered resistance from entrenched bureaucratic figures, highlighting the challenges of enacting institutional change in politically influenced settings. Nevertheless, they represented a

significant shift away from doubt practices and were in line with democratic principles of fairness and accountability.

Ehtesab Commission

The creation of the KP Ehtesab Commission marked a significant aspect of PTI's campaign against corruption. Intended as an independent entity to investigate corruption within the public sector, it initially received public backing (Waseem, 2018). Its initial achievements highlighted the capability of self-governing institutions to enhance accountability. Nonetheless, internal disputes, shifts in leadership, and accusations of political favoritism diminished its credibility. The commission's subsequent decline emphasized the vulnerability of reform initiatives that lack proper institutional safeguards. This situation reveals the necessity of legal protections and political agreement in maintaining effective anti-corruption strategies.

Digitalization

The PTI's adoption of digital governance tools demonstrated its contemporary approach to democratization. Initiatives like the Citizen Portal enabled citizens to report issues and receive prompt responses, thereby improving government accountability (Jamil, 2018). Social media was utilized to share information, engage young people, and foster transparency. These digital tools facilitated access to public services and amplified the voices of citizens. However, challenges of digital exclusion persisted, especially in remote regions with poor connectivity. The effectiveness of e-governance relied not only on the technology itself but also on the preparedness of institutions and the literacy of users.

Youth mobilization

Youth mobilization played a crucial role in the political strategy of PTI. The party built a robust following among younger voters through volunteer initiatives, educational programs, and online interaction. This group, often overlooked in conventional politics, became actively involved in governance and advocacy efforts. Policies aimed at the youth promoted political awareness and a sense of civic duty. Nevertheless, engagement levels were inconsistent, with young people in rural areas encountering obstacles stemming from socioeconomic inequalities. The enduring influence of youth mobilization on democratic practices relies on ongoing commitment to education and political inclusion (Maringira & Gukurume, 2022).

Education Reforms

The goal of PTI's educational reforms was to advance civic awareness and equity. To enhance quality and accessibility, more funding was made available, curricula were standardized, and teacher training initiatives were implemented. By emphasizing citizenship and critical thinking, the changes brought education into line with democratic ideals. Disparities remained across districts despite improvements in infrastructure and enrollment. The revolutionary potential of the reforms was constrained by implementation issues like teacher absenteeism and resource limitations (Haider, 2025). However, a fundamental component of PTI's democratization policy continued to be education.

Health Initiatives

Within the wellbeing sector, PTI launched activities just like the Sehat Insaf Card to grow get to therapeutic administrations. These programs made strides open believe in teach and reinforced the social contract between citizens and the state (Ali & Naseer, 2017). In spite of the fact that not specifically political, wellbeing changes contributed to democratization by improving state authenticity and responsiveness. Progressed benefit conveyance diminished reliance on casual systems and expanded citizen engagement. In any case, supportability concerns and uneven scope highlighted the require for systemic change.

Opposition Criticisms

Even though PTI wanted to make changes, it was criticized by other political parties and community groups. People are claiming that there is too much control by a few leaders, not enough discussions with the public, and changes that only look good on the surface, which makes us wonder how real the democratic changes are. Some programs were seen as coming from the top and not connected to what people in the community really need. The lack of rules and controls made it harder to manage things. These critiques highlight how important it is to include everyone in making decisions and to listen to different groups when improving democracy (Prabhod, 2024).

Political polarization

During PTI's time in office, there was a lot of disagreement and conflict. The party's aggressive language and exclusion of different opinions hurt the democratic values of discussion and diversity of thought (Waseem, 2018). This confrontational method made it harder to agree and created problems in the institutions. For democracy to grow strong, we need changes in rules and a way of working together that includes respect and understanding. PTI's experience shows the dangers of populist leadership in weak democracies.

Inconsistent of Institutional Reforms

Changes in institutions were often not steady, and some efforts didn't have a clear direction or consistency. For example, even though the Ehtesab Commission started off with a lot of excitement, it eventually lost its ability to operate independently. These changes made people lose trust and showed that we need legal protections. Not having performance reviews and ways to give feedback made it harder to improve things. Making reforms permanent is still a big challenge in situations where changes are happening (Faguet & Shami, 2022).

National Political Dynamics

To understand PTI's leadership in KP, we need to look at the bigger picture of the national politics. The party's success in the provinces helped it win at the national level in 2018. However, disagreements between the federal and provincial governments made it hard to carry out some policies (Ahmed, S. (2022)). Coordination issues and lack of resources weakened the results of the reforms. The relationship between what the country wanted and what was really happening in the provinces influenced how PTI moved towards democracy. Knowing how these factors work is important for evaluating how well the changes can grow.

Conclusion

In summary, PTI's time in office in KP from 2013 to 2018 is a detailed example of how a political party can help improve democracy. The party made important changes to improve openness, share power, involve young people, and provide better services. However, problems with strong institutions, including diverse voices, and political attitudes made it hard for them to create big changes. Democratization is a complicated process that needs consistent effort, teamwork from different groups, and flexible management. PTI's experience shows both the opportunities and challenges of making changes in countries that are changing to democracy.

References

- Abbas, (2019). PTI and Democratic Transition in Pakistan: Challenges and Prospects. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 27(1), 72-87.
- Abdul, (2019). Evaluating PTI's Government Performance in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa; A Critical Review. *Journal of Political Studies*, 26(2), 245-260.
- Ahmed, S. (2022). *National political dynamics in South Asia*. Oxford University Press.
- Ali, S., & Naseer, M. (2017). Transparency and accountability in Pakistan: A case study of the Right to

- Bano, H., Parhyar, A., & Sethar, W. A. (2024). *Merit based recruitment and selection: An effective tool kit of HRM—A case study of University Sindh, Jamshoro. Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 615–622.
- Cheema, A., Khan, A., & Myerson, R. (2015). Devolution and governance reforms in Pakistan: The case of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. *World Development*, 68, 153–168.
- Faguet, J.-P., & Shami, M. (2022). *The incoherence of institutional reform: Decentralization as a structural solution to immediate political needs. Studies in Comparative International Development*, 57, 85–112.
- Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. (2013). *The Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Local Government Act, 2013 (Act No. XXVIII of 2013)*. Local Government Department.
- Haider, M. (2025, April 12). *Education reforms spark debate in parliament. Dawn News.* <https://dawn.com/news/article>
- Jamil, S. (2018). Social media and political participation in Pakistan: A study of PTI's digital strategy. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 28(3), 245–260.
- Khan, I. (2016). PTI's governance model in KP: Reform or rhetoric? *South Asian Studies*, 31(1), 89–104.
- Maringira, G., & Gukurume, S. (2022). *Youth political mobilization: Violence, intimidation, and patronage in Zimbabwe. Political Psychology*, 43(6), 1027–1041.
- Prabhod, K. J. (2024). *Opposition voices and democratic resilience in South Asia. Journal of Political Discourse*, 18(3), 112–130.
- Rashid, A. (2019). Political reform and resistance in Pakistan: Evaluating PTI's tenure in KP. *Journal of Political Studies*, 26(2), 101–120.
- Shafqat, S. (2015). Pakistan's political parties: Surviving between dictatorship and democracy. *Asian Survey*, 55(2), 279–300.
- Ullah, Z., & Tayyab, M. (2023). *Critical evaluation of police reforms in KP in comparison with best practices. Khyber Journal of Public Policy*, 2(1), 85–86.
- Waseem, M. (2018). Democratization in Pakistan: Challenges and prospects. *Contemporary South Asia*, 26(1), 1–15.